| 1 2 | Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360) Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076) Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913) | | |
|------------|--|---|--|
| | HOWREY LLP | | |
| 3 | 525 Market Street, Suite 3600 San Francisco, California 94105 | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (415) 848-4900 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999 | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. | | |
| 6 | and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX | | |
| 7 | ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL | | |
| 8 | CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | RICOH COMPANY, LTD., | Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC) | |
| 15 | Plaintiff, | Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) | |
| 16 | VS. | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING | |
| 17 | AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., | PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR | |
| 18 | Defendants. | SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF CLAIMS 13-17 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 4,922,432 | |
| 19 | | [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 4] | |
| 20 | SYNOPSYS, INC., | | |
| 21 | , , | Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor | |
| 22 | Plaintiff, | Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins | |
| 23 | VS. | | |
| 24 | RICOH COMPANY, LTD., | | |
| 25 | Defendant. | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| HOWREY LLP | Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) | | |
| | S DM_US\8378982.v1 | | |

| 1 | On September 26, 2006, Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. ("Synopsys") and Defendants Aeroflex, Inc., | |
|------------|---|---|
| 2 | AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox | |
| 3 | International Corp., Matrox Tech, Inc., and Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. (collectively the | |
| 4 | "Customer Defendants") brought before this Court a Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of | |
| 5 | Claims 13-17 of U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432. | The matter has been fully considered, and finding good |
| 6 | cause therefor, | |
| 7 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Synopsys' and Customer Defendants' | |
| 8 | Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 13-17 of U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432. An | |
| 9 | appropriate judgment dismissing this case shall follow. | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | DATED: | |
| 12 | | The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins |
| 13 | | United States District Court Judge |
| 14 | | N. 1. 24. 1. A 4. 10. 200.c.1 |
| 15 | | Submitted August 18, 2006 by: HOWREY LLP |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | I | By: /s/ |
| 18 | | Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. |
| 19 | | and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, |
| 20 | | INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX |
| 21 | INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TE | INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, |
| 22 | | INC. |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| HOWREY LLP | Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) | 1 |